

# Introduction to discrete time financial models

B. Bouchard\*

\*LMPA Paris 6 and Crest

# Plan

1. Financial markets

# Plan

1. Financial markets
2. The one period binomial model

# Plan

1. Financial markets
2. The one period binomial model
3. General discrete time models

# Plan

1. Financial markets
2. The one period binomial model
3. General discrete time models
4. Application to multinomial models

# Plan

1. Financial markets
2. The one period binomial model
3. General discrete time models
4. Application to multinomial models
5. American option pricing

# Plan

1. Financial markets
2. The one period binomial model
3. General discrete time models
4. Application to multinomial models
5. American option pricing
6. From CRR to BS model

# Plan

1. Financial markets
2. The one period binomial model
3. General discrete time models
4. Application to multinomial models
5. American option pricing
6. From CRR to BS model
7. Optimal management : Utility maximisation

# 1. Financial markets

## 1.1. Probabilistic framework

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $|\Omega| < \infty$

# 1. Financial markets

## 1.1. Probabilistic framework

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $|\Omega| < \infty$
- $T \in \mathbb{N}^*$  a time horizon

# 1. Financial markets

## 1.1. Probabilistic framework

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $|\Omega| < \infty$
- $T \in \mathbb{N}^*$  a time horizon
- $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t=0, \dots, T}$  a filtration s.t.  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}$ .

# 1. Financial markets

## 1.1. Probabilistic framework

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $|\Omega| < \infty$
- $T \in \mathbb{N}^*$  a time horizon
- $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t=0, \dots, T}$  a filtration s.t.  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}$ .
- $L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  the set of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable maps.

## 1.2. Financial assets

- $B = (B_t)_{t \leq T}$  : non-risky asset, i.e.  $B_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ .

Set  $R_t = B_{t+1}/B_t$ ,  $r_t = R_t - 1$  and  $B_0 = 1$  by convention.

## 1.2. Financial assets

- $B = (B_t)_{t \leq T}$  : non-risky asset, i.e.  $B_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ .

Set  $R_t = B_{t+1}/B_t$ ,  $r_t = R_t - 1$  and  $B_0 = 1$  by convention.

- $S = (S^1, \dots, S^d)$  :  $d$  risky assets.  $S$  is adapted, i.e.  $S_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$ .

## 1.2. Financial assets

- $B = (B_t)_{t \leq T}$  : non-risky asset, i.e.  $B_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ .

Set  $R_t = B_{t+1}/B_t$ ,  $r_t = R_t - 1$  and  $B_0 = 1$  by convention.

- $S = (S^1, \dots, S^d)$  :  $d$  risky assets.  $S$  is adapted, i.e.  $S_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$ .
- For a process  $M$ , we set  $\tilde{M}_t = M_t/B_t$ ,  $\Delta M_{t+1} = M_{t+1} - M_t$

## 1.2. Financial assets

- $B = (B_t)_{t \leq T}$  : non-risky asset, i.e.  $B_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ .

Set  $R_t = B_{t+1}/B_t$ ,  $r_t = R_t - 1$  and  $B_0 = 1$  by convention.

- $S = (S^1, \dots, S^d)$  :  $d$  risky assets.  $S$  is adapted, i.e.  $S_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$ .
- For a process  $M$ , we set  $\tilde{M}_t = M_t/B_t$ ,  $\Delta M_{t+1} = M_{t+1} - M_t$
- For  $G \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ , we set  $\tilde{G} = G/B_T$ .

### 1.3. Financial strategies

- $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^d)$  adapted ( $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ).  $\phi_t^i =$  quantity of  $S_t^i$  in the portfolio between  $t$  and  $t + 1$ .

### 1.3. Financial strategies

- $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^d)$  adapted ( $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ).  $\phi_t^i =$  quantity of  $S_t^i$  in the portfolio between  $t$  and  $t + 1$ .
- $X^{x, \phi}$  : wealth process induced by  $\phi$  and initial wealth  $x$ .

### 1.3. Financial strategies

- $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^d)$  adapted ( $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ).  $\phi_t^i =$  quantity of  $S_t^i$  in the portfolio between  $t$  and  $t + 1$ .
- $X^{x,\phi}$  : wealth process induced by  $\phi$  and initial wealth  $x$ .
- Investment :  $X_t^{x,\phi} = \phi_t \cdot S_t + (X_t^{x,\phi} - \phi_t \cdot S_t) B_t^{-1} B_t$

### 1.3. Financial strategies

- $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^d)$  adapted ( $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ).  $\phi_t^i =$  quantity of  $S_t^i$  in the portfolio between  $t$  and  $t + 1$ .
- $X^{x,\phi}$  : wealth process induced by  $\phi$  and initial wealth  $x$ .
- Investment :  $X_t^{x,\phi} = \phi_t \cdot S_t + (X_t^{x,\phi} - \phi_t \cdot S_t) B_t^{-1} B_t$
- Wealth dynamics :  $X_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = \phi_t \cdot S_{t+1} + (X_t^{x,\phi} - \phi_t \cdot S_t) B_t^{-1} B_{t+1}$

so that  $X_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = X_t^{x,\phi} + \phi_t \cdot \Delta S_{t+1} + (X_t^{x,\phi} - \phi_t \cdot S_t) r_t$

- Observe :  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = \phi_t \cdot \tilde{S}_{t+1} + (\tilde{X}_t^{x,\phi} - \phi_t \cdot \tilde{S}_t)$

so that  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = \tilde{X}_t^{x,\phi} + \phi_t \cdot \Delta\tilde{S}_{t+1}$  and  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = x + \sum_{i \leq t} \phi_i \cdot \Delta\tilde{S}_{i+1}$

- Observe :  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = \phi_t \cdot \tilde{S}_{t+1} + (\tilde{X}_t^{x,\phi} - \phi_t \cdot \tilde{S}_t)$

so that  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = \tilde{X}_t^{x,\phi} + \phi_t \cdot \Delta\tilde{S}_{t+1}$  and  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{x,\phi} = x + \sum_{i \leq t} \phi_i \cdot \Delta\tilde{S}_{i+1}$

- Observe :  $\tilde{X}_t^{x,\phi} = x + \tilde{X}_t^{0,\phi} =: x + \tilde{X}_t^\phi$

## 1.4. Contingent claim

- European : receive  $G \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$  at  $T$  against the payment of a premium.

## 1.4. Contingent claim

- European : receive  $G \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$  at  $T$  against the payment of a premium.
- American : receive  $G_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  at  $t$  against the payment of a premium but can choose  $t$  (before  $T$ ).

## 1.5. Main questions

- Under which condition

NA :  $\nexists \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^\phi \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{P} [X_T^\phi > 0] > 0$

holds ?

## 1.5. Main questions

- Under which condition

NA :  $\nexists \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^\phi \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{P} [X_T^\phi > 0] > 0$

holds ?

- What is the price of a contingent claim  $G$  ?

## 2. The one period binomial model

### 2.1. Financial assets

- $T = 1$ ,  $d = 1$  and write  $S$  for  $S^1$

## 2. The one period binomial model

### 2.1. Financial assets

- $T = 1$ ,  $d = 1$  and write  $S$  for  $S^1$
- $\Omega = \{\omega_u, \omega_d\}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[\omega_u] = p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$

## 2. The one period binomial model

### 2.1. Financial assets

- $T = 1$ ,  $d = 1$  and write  $S$  for  $S^1$
- $\Omega = \{\omega_u, \omega_d\}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[\omega_u] = p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$
- $S_1(\omega_u) = S_0u$ ,  $S_1(\omega_d) = S_0d$ ,  $u > d$ ,  $S_0 > 0$

## 2.2. Absence of arbitrage opportunity

- Lem 2.2.1 :  $\text{NA} \Leftrightarrow u > R_0 > d$ .

## 2.2. Absence of arbitrage opportunity

- Lem 2.2.1 :  $\text{NA} \Leftrightarrow u > R_0 > d$ .
- Prop 2.2.2 : Under NA, there is a unique  $0 < \pi < 1$  such that  $\tilde{S}_1(\omega_u)\pi + \tilde{S}_1(\omega_d)(1 - \pi) = \tilde{S}_0$ . It is given by  $\pi = (R_0 - d)/(u - d)$ .

## 2.2. Absence of arbitrage opportunity

- Lem 2.2.1 :  $\text{NA} \Leftrightarrow u > R_0 > d$ .
- Prop 2.2.2 : Under NA, there is a unique  $0 < \pi < 1$  such that  $\tilde{S}_1(\omega_u)\pi + \tilde{S}_1(\omega_d)(1 - \pi) = \tilde{S}_0$ . It is given by  $\pi = (R_0 - d)/(u - d)$ .
- We define  $\mathcal{M}(S) := \{\mathbb{Q} \sim P : \tilde{S} \text{ is a } \mathbb{Q}\text{-martingale}\}$   
(i.e.  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{S}_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \tilde{S}_t$  for  $t \leq T - 1$ )

## 2.2. Absence of arbitrage opportunity

- Lem 2.2.1 :  $\text{NA} \Leftrightarrow u > R_0 > d$ .
- Prop 2.2.2 : Under NA, there is a unique  $0 < \pi < 1$  such that  $\tilde{S}_1(\omega_u)\pi + \tilde{S}_1(\omega_d)(1 - \pi) = \tilde{S}_0$ . It is given by  $\pi = (R_0 - d)/(u - d)$ .
- We define  $\mathcal{M}(S) := \{\mathbb{Q} \sim P : \tilde{S} \text{ is a } \mathbb{Q}\text{-martingale}\}$   
(i.e.  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{S}_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \tilde{S}_t$  for  $t \leq T - 1$ )
- Cor 2.2.3 :  $\text{NA} \Leftrightarrow \exists Q \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ . It is unique and given by  $Q(\omega_u) = \pi$ .

## 2.3. Contingent claim hedging and pricing

- Def 2.3.1 : A European contingent claim  $G$  is replicable if there is  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X_T^{x,\phi} = G$ .

## 2.3. Contingent claim hedging and pricing

- Def 2.3.1 : A European contingent claim  $G$  is replicable if there is  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X_T^{x,\phi} = G$ .
- Prop 2.3.2 : In this model any European contingent claim is replicable. We say that the market is complete.

## 2.3. Contingent claim hedging and pricing

- Def 2.3.1 : A European contingent claim  $G$  is replicable if there is  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X_T^{x,\phi} = G$ .
- Prop 2.3.2 : In this model any European contingent claim is replicable. We say that the market is complete.
- Def 2.3.3 : The super-hedging price is defined by 
$$p(G) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}, X_T^{x,\phi} \geq G\}$$

## 2.3. Contingent claim hedging and pricing

- Def 2.3.1 : A European contingent claim  $G$  is replicable if there is  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X_T^{x,\phi} = G$ .
- Prop 2.3.2 : In this model any European contingent claim is replicable. We say that the market is complete.
- Def 2.3.3 : The super-hedging price is defined by  $p(G) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}, X_T^{x,\phi} \geq G\}$
- Theo 2.3.4 : Under NA,  $p(G) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{G}]$ .

- Def 2.3.5 : We say that  $p$  is a viable price for  $G$  if there is no  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^{p,\phi} - G \geq 0$  with  $\mathbb{P} \left[ X_T^{p,\phi} - G > 0 \right] > 0$  or  $X_T^{-p,\phi} + G \geq 0$  with  $\mathbb{P} \left[ X_T^{-p,\phi} + G > 0 \right] > 0$

- Def 2.3.5 : We say that  $p$  is a viable price for  $G$  if there is no  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^{p,\phi} - G \geq 0$  with  $\mathbb{P} \left[ X_T^{p,\phi} - G > 0 \right] > 0$  or  $X_T^{-p,\phi} + G \geq 0$  with  $\mathbb{P} \left[ X_T^{-p,\phi} + G > 0 \right] > 0$
- Prop 2.3.6 : Under NA the only viable price is  $p(G)$ .

### 3. General discrete time model

- Simplification :  $|\tilde{S}_{t+1} - \tilde{S}_t| > 0, \mathbb{P}[\omega] > 0 \forall \omega \in \Omega$

#### 3.1. No-arbitrage

- No local arbitrage

NA<sub>t</sub>:  $\nexists \phi_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  s.t.  $\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}[\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} > 0] > 0$

### 3. General discrete time model

- Simplification :  $|\tilde{S}_{t+1} - \tilde{S}_t| > 0, \mathbb{P}[\omega] > 0 \forall \omega \in \Omega$

#### 3.1. No-arbitrage

- No local arbitrage

$\text{NA}_t$ :  $\nexists \phi_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  s.t.  $\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}[\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} > 0] > 0$

- Theo 3.1.2 : The assertions are equivalent

(i) NA

(ii)  $\text{NA}_t$  for all  $t = 0, \dots, T - 1$

(iii)  $\mathcal{M}(S) \neq \emptyset$

- Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^\phi \geq G$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{X}_T^\phi \geq \tilde{G}$ )

- Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^\phi \geq G$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{X}_T^\phi \geq \tilde{G}$ )
- Let  $\Gamma_t$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  s.t.  $\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \geq \tilde{G}$

- Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^\phi \geq G$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{X}_T^\phi \geq \tilde{G}$ )
- Let  $\Gamma_t$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  s.t.  $\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \geq \tilde{G}$
- Prop 3.1.3 :  $\text{NA}_t \Rightarrow \Gamma_t$  is closed

- Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^\phi \geq G$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{X}_T^\phi \geq \tilde{G}$ )
- Let  $\Gamma_t$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  s.t.  $\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \geq \tilde{G}$
- Prop 3.1.3 :  $\text{NA}_t \Rightarrow \Gamma_t$  is closed
- Prop 3.1.5 :  $\text{NA}_t \Rightarrow \exists H_{t,t+1} \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_{t+1})$  s.t.  $\mathbb{E} [H_{t,t+1} \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E} [H_{t,t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = 1$

- Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $X_T^\phi \geq G$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{X}_T^\phi \geq \tilde{G}$ )
- Let  $\Gamma_t$  be the set of  $G$  such that  $\exists \phi_t \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$  s.t.  $\phi_t \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \geq \tilde{G}$
- Prop 3.1.3 :  $\text{NA}_t \Rightarrow \Gamma_t$  is closed
- Prop 3.1.5 :  $\text{NA}_t \Rightarrow \exists H_{t,t+1} \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_{t+1})$  s.t.  $\mathbb{E} [H_{t,t+1} \Delta \tilde{S}_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E} [H_{t,t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = 1$
- Exe 3.1.7 : Assume NA and show that  $\Gamma$  is closed.

## 3.2. Option pricing

- Theo 3.2.1 : If NA holds then  $\mathcal{M}(S) \neq \emptyset$  and

$$\Gamma = \{G \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T) : \mathbb{E}^Q[\tilde{G}] \leq 0 \forall Q \in \mathcal{M}(S)\}$$

## 3.2. Option pricing

- Theo 3.2.1 : If NA holds then  $\mathcal{M}(S) \neq \emptyset$  and

$$\Gamma = \{G \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T) : \mathbb{E}^Q[\tilde{G}] \leq 0 \forall Q \in \mathcal{M}(S)\}$$

- Cor 3.2.3 :  $p(G) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{M}(S)} \mathbb{E}^Q[\tilde{G}]$

## 3.2. Option pricing

- Theo 3.2.1 : If NA holds then  $\mathcal{M}(S) \neq \emptyset$  and

$$\Gamma = \{G \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T) : \mathbb{E}^Q[\tilde{G}] \leq 0 \forall Q \in \mathcal{M}(S)\}$$

- Cor 3.2.3 :  $p(G) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{M}(S)} \mathbb{E}^Q[\tilde{G}]$
- Theo 3.2.4 : If NA holds then a viable price for  $G$  must belong to  $[-p(-G), p(G)]$

### 3.3. Completeness

- Theo 3.3.3 : If the market is complete then  $|\mathcal{M}(S)| \leq 1$ .  
Conversely, if  $|\mathcal{M}(S)| = 1$  then the market is complete.

## 4. Application to multinomial models

### 4.1. Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model

- $\mathbb{P}[S_{t+1} = uS_t \mid S_t] = p, \mathbb{P}[S_{t+1} = dS_t \mid S_t] = 1 - p, u > d,$   
 $0 < p < 1$

## 4. Application to multinomial models

### 4.1. Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model

- $\mathbb{P}[S_{t+1} = uS_t \mid S_t] = p, \mathbb{P}[S_{t+1} = dS_t \mid S_t] = 1 - p, u > d, 0 < p < 1$

- Exe 4.1.1 : (i) Show that  $NA$  implies  $u > R > d$   
(ii) Show that  $\mathcal{M}(S) = \{\mathbb{Q}\}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}[S_{t+1} = uS_t \mid S_t] = \pi := (R - d)/(u - d)$   
(iii) Show that  $NA \Leftrightarrow u > R > d \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S) = \{\mathbb{Q}\}$

## 4.2. Trinomial one period model

- $T = 1, \Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$

## 4.2. Trinomial one period model

- $T = 1, \Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$
- $S_1(\omega_i) = \alpha_i S_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  with  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3$ .

## 4.2. Trinomial one period model

- $T = 1, \Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$
- $S_1(\omega_i) = \alpha_i S_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  with  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3$ .
- Set  $\Pi = \{\pi = (\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3) \in (0, 1)^3 : \pi^1 + \pi^2 + \pi^3 = 1\}$

## 4.2. Trinomial one period model

- $T = 1, \Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$
- $S_1(\omega_i) = \alpha_i S_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  with  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3$ .
- Set  $\Pi = \{\pi = (\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3) \in (0, 1)^3 : \pi^1 + \pi^2 + \pi^3 = 1\}$
- Exe 4.2.1 : Show that  $\text{NA} \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1 > R_0 > \alpha_3 \Leftrightarrow \Pi \neq \emptyset$

## 4.2. Trinomial one period model

- $T = 1, \Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$
- $S_1(\omega_i) = \alpha_i S_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  with  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3$ .
- Set  $\Pi = \{\pi = (\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3) \in (0, 1)^3 : \pi^1 + \pi^2 + \pi^3 = 1\}$
- Exe 4.2.1 : Show that  $\text{NA} \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1 > R_0 > \alpha_3 \Leftrightarrow \Pi \neq \emptyset$
- Exe 4.2.2 : Compute  $p(G)$  under NA

## 5. American option pricing

- We assume  $\mathcal{M}(S) \neq \emptyset$

## 5. American option pricing

- We assume  $\mathcal{M}(S) \neq \emptyset$
- An American claim is an adapted process  $G = (G_t)_{t \leq T}$

## 5. American option pricing

- We assume  $\mathcal{M}(S) \neq \emptyset$
- An American claim is an adapted process  $G = (G_t)_{t \leq T}$
- Problem : compute the super-replication price

$$p(G) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \phi \in \mathcal{A}, X_t^{x,\phi} \geq G_t \forall t = 0, \dots, T\}$$

## 5.1. Supermartingale and Snell envelop

- Theorem 5.1.2 : If  $Y$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale  $M$  and a non-decreasing predictable process  $A$  such that  $Y_t = M_t - A_t$ .

## 5.1. Supermartingale and Snell envelop

- Theorem 5.1.2 : If  $Y$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale  $M$  and a non-decreasing predictable process  $A$  such that  $Y_t = M_t - A_t$ .
- Prop 5.1.3 : Define  $Y$  by  $Y_T = \tilde{G}_T$  and  $Y_t = \max\{\tilde{G}_t, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[Y_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t]\}$ . Then, it is the lowest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale above  $\tilde{G}$ . We say that  $Y$  is the Snell envelop of  $\tilde{G}$ .

## 5.1. Supermartingale and Snell envelop

- Theorem 5.1.2 : If  $Y$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale  $M$  and a non-decreasing predictable process  $A$  such that  $Y_t = M_t - A_t$ .
- Prop 5.1.3 : Define  $Y$  by  $Y_T = \tilde{G}_T$  and  $Y_t = \max\{\tilde{G}_t, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[Y_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t]\}$ . Then, it is the lowest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale above  $\tilde{G}$ . We say that  $Y$  is the Snell envelop of  $\tilde{G}$ .
- Prop 5.1.5 :  $\tau^* = \inf\{t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : Y_t = \tilde{G}_t\} \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $(Y_{t \wedge \tau^*})_t$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale.

## 5.1. Supermartingale and Snell envelop

- Theorem 5.1.2 : If  $Y$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale  $M$  and a non-decreasing predictable process  $A$  such that  $Y_t = M_t - A_t$ .
- Prop 5.1.3 : Define  $Y$  by  $Y_T = \tilde{G}_T$  and  $Y_t = \max\{\tilde{G}_t, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[Y_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t]\}$ . Then, it is the lowest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale above  $\tilde{G}$ . We say that  $Y$  is the Snell envelop of  $\tilde{G}$ .
- Prop 5.1.5 :  $\tau^* = \inf\{t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : Y_t = \tilde{G}_t\} \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $(Y_{t \wedge \tau^*})_t$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale.
- Prop 5.1.7 :  $Y_0 = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{G}_\tau] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{G}_{\tau^*}]$ .

## 5.2. Super-replication price

- Theo 5.2.1 :  $p(G) = Y_0 = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{G}_{\tau}] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{G}_{\tau^*}]$ .

## 6. From CRR to Black and Scholes model

### 6.1. Black and Scholes model

- One non-risky asset :  $B_t = e^{rt}$

## 6. From CRR to Black and Scholes model

### 6.1. Black and Scholes model

- One non-risky asset :  $B_t = e^{rt}$
- One risky asset :  $S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma W_t}$

## 6. From CRR to Black and Scholes model

### 6.1. Black and Scholes model

- One non-risky asset :  $B_t = e^{rt}$
- One risky asset :  $S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma W_t}$
- Contingent claim :  $G = g(S_T)$ ,  $g$  bounded continuous.

## 6. From CRR to Black and Scholes model

### 6.1. Black and Scholes model

- One non-risky asset :  $B_t = e^{rt}$
- One risky asset :  $S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma W_t}$
- Contingent claim :  $G = g(S_T)$ ,  $g$  bounded continuous.
- Investment :  $\phi_t$  units of  $S_t \rightarrow \phi_t dS_t$   
Remaining part :  $(X_t^{x, \phi_t} - \phi_t S_t) B_t^{-1}$  units of  $B_t \rightarrow (X_t^{x, \phi_t} - \phi_t S_t) B_t^{-1} dB_t$

## 6. From CRR to Black and Scholes model

### 6.1. Black and Scholes model

- One non-risky asset :  $B_t = e^{rt}$
- One risky asset :  $S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma W_t}$
- Contingent claim :  $G = g(S_T)$ ,  $g$  bounded continuous.
- Investment :  $\phi_t$  units of  $S_t \rightarrow \phi_t dS_t$   
Remaining part :  $(X_t^{x, \phi_t} - \phi_t S_t) B_t^{-1}$  units of  $B_t \rightarrow (X_t^{x, \phi_t} - \phi_t S_t) B_t^{-1} dB_t$
- Wealth dynamics :  $dX_t^{x, \phi} = (X_t^{x, \phi_t} - \phi_t S_t) r dt + \phi_t dS_t$

## 6.1.1. Formal derivation

- $p(t, S_t)$  : price at time  $t$ .

## 6.1.1. Formal derivation

- $p(t, S_t)$  : price at time  $t$ .
- Assume  $p$  is smooth and find  $(x, \phi)$  so that  $X_t^{x, \phi} = p(t, S_t)$ .

## 6.1.1. Formal derivation

- $p(t, S_t)$  : price at time  $t$ .
- Assume  $p$  is smooth and find  $(x, \phi)$  so that  $X_t^{x, \phi} = p(t, S_t)$ .
- By Itô and identification :  $\phi_t = p_s(t, S_t)$   
and  $p_t + r p_s + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 p_{ss} = r p$

## 6.1.1. Formal derivation

- $p(t, S_t)$  : price at time  $t$ .
- Assume  $p$  is smooth and find  $(x, \phi)$  so that  $X_t^{x, \phi} = p(t, S_t)$ .
- By Itô and identification :  $\phi_t = p_s(t, S_t)$   
and  $p_t + rsp_s + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 p_{ss} = rp$
- Black and Scholes equation

$$p_t + rsp_s + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 p_{ss} = rp \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times [0, T)$$
$$p(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot)$$

## 6.1.1. Formal derivation

- $p(t, S_t)$  : price at time  $t$ .
- Assume  $p$  is smooth and find  $(x, \phi)$  so that  $X_t^{x, \phi} = p(t, S_t)$ .
- By Itô and identification :  $\phi_t = p_s(t, S_t)$   
and  $p_t + r s p_s + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 p_{ss} = r p$
- Black and Scholes equation

$$p_t + r s p_s + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 p_{ss} = r p \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times [0, T)$$
$$p(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot)$$

- Solution :  $p(0, S_0) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT} g(S_T)]$  with  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$  such that  $W_t^{\mathbb{Q}} = W_t + \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma} t$  defined a B.M. under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## 6.1.2. Rigorous derivation

- Use the martingale representation theorem.

## 6.2. Approximation of BS model by CRR models

- $n$  periods CRR model with  $R_n = e^{rT/n}$ ,  $u_n = e^{b_n + \sigma_n}$ ,  $d_n = e^{b_n - \sigma_n}$ ,  $\sigma_n = \sigma\sqrt{T/n}$ ,  $b_n = bT/n$ ,  $b = (r - \sigma^2/2)$ .

## 6.2. Approximation of BS model by CRR models

- $n$  periods CRR model with  $R_n = e^{rT/n}$ ,  $u_n = e^{b_n + \sigma_n}$ ,  $d_n = e^{b_n - \sigma_n}$ ,  $\sigma_n = \sigma\sqrt{T/n}$ ,  $b_n = bT/n$ ,  $b = (r - \sigma^2/2)$ .
- $S_k^n = S_0 e^{kb_n + \sigma_n \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i^n}$ ,  $(Z_i^n)_i$  iid with  $\mathbb{P}[Z_1^n = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}$

## 6.2. Approximation of BS model by CRR models

- $n$  periods CRR model with  $R_n = e^{rT/n}$ ,  $u_n = e^{b_n + \sigma_n}$ ,  $d_n = e^{b_n - \sigma_n}$ ,  $\sigma_n = \sigma\sqrt{T/n}$ ,  $b_n = bT/n$ ,  $b = (r - \sigma^2/2)$ .
- $S_k^n = S_0 e^{kb_n + \sigma_n \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i^n}$ ,  $(Z_i^n)_i$  iid with  $\mathbb{P}[Z_1^n = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}$
- $G^n = g(S_n^n)$

## 6.2. Approximation of BS model by CRR models

- $n$  periods CRR model with  $R_n = e^{rT/n}$ ,  $u_n = e^{b_n + \sigma_n}$ ,  $d_n = e^{b_n - \sigma_n}$ ,  $\sigma_n = \sigma\sqrt{T/n}$ ,  $b_n = bT/n$ ,  $b = (r - \sigma^2/2)$ .
- $S_k^n = S_0 e^{kb_n + \sigma_n \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i^n}$ ,  $(Z_i^n)_i$  iid with  $\mathbb{P}[Z_1^n = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}$
- $G^n = g(S_n^n)$
- Theo 6.2.1 :  $p(G^n) \rightarrow p(0, S_0)$ .

## 6.2. Approximation of BS model by CRR models

- $n$  periods CRR model with  $R_n = e^{rT/n}$ ,  $u_n = e^{b_n + \sigma_n}$ ,  $d_n = e^{b_n - \sigma_n}$ ,  $\sigma_n = \sigma\sqrt{T/n}$ ,  $b_n = bT/n$ ,  $b = (r - \sigma^2/2)$ .
- $S_k^n = S_0 e^{kb_n + \sigma_n \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i^n}$ ,  $(Z_i^n)_i$  iid with  $\mathbb{P}[Z_1^n = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}$
- $G^n = g(S_n^n)$
- Theo 6.2.1 :  $p(G^n) \rightarrow p(0, S_0)$ .
- Theo 6.2.2 : If  $g(s) = (K - s)^+$  then  $\phi_0^n \rightarrow p_s(0, S_0)$ .